



Subject:	Food Standards Agency consultation on allergen information requirements for food prepacked for direct sale.
Date:	5 March 2019
Reporting Officer:	Nigel Grimshaw, Strategic Director of City & Neighbourhood Services
Contact Officer:	Damian Connolly, Environmental Health Manager, City Services

Restricted Reports		
Is this report restricted?	Yes No	X
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?		
After Committee Decision		
After Council Decision		
Some time in the future		
Never		

Call-in	
Is the decision eligible for Call-in?	Yes X No

1.0	Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues
1.1	It is estimated that around 2 million people living in the UK have a food allergy. Recent cases
	of severe allergic reactions, including the death of Natasha Ednan-Laperouse after eating a
	Pret a Manger sandwich, have highlighted issues with food that is prepacked for direct sale
	(PPDS) i.e. food that has been packed on the same premises from which it is being sold.
	Currently PPDS foods are not required to carry labels on the packaging. The allergen
	information must be readily available, including through indications to ask a member of staff
	and information on allergens can be given in person by the food business operator when
	asked.

1.2	The Food Standards Agency (FSA) wants to make the rules clearer so consumers are more	
	aware of any allergenic ingredients in the PPDS food they purchase and are consulting on 4	
	possible options:	
1.3	Option 1 – Promote best practice	
1	This would not require a legislative change. Instead, it would require additional activity to	
1	promote best practice around communicating allergen information.	
1		
1.4	Option 2 – Mandate 'Ask the Staff' labels on packaging of PPDS foods, with supporting	
	information for consumers in writing	
	This would require 'Ask the Staff' about allergens labels on all PPDS products. When asked	
	upon request, before the food is purchased.	
15	Option 3 – Mandato name of the food and allorgen labelling on packaging of PPDS	
1.5		
1	This would require PPDS foods to have a label on the packaging to tell the consumer the	
1	name of the food and which of the 14 allergenic ingredients specified in law the product	
	intentionally contains.	
1		
1.6	Option 4 – Mandate name of the food and full ingredient list labelling, with allergens	
	emphasised, on packaging of PPDS foods	
	This mandates PPDS foods to have a label naming the food and listing the full ingredients	
	Recommendations	
2.1		
l	recommente option o as the preferred option as stated in paragraph o, point 0.4.	
l	 note the request that if option 3 is considered to be the appropriate regional option. 	
	resources to support business to implement this and in particular for those that are	
	small, medium enterprises.	
1.5 1.6 2.0 2.1	 intentionally contains. Option 4 – Mandate name of the food and full ingredient list labelling, with allergens emphasised, on packaging of PPDS foods This mandates PPDS foods to have a label naming the food and listing the full ingredients with allergens emphasised on the packaging. Recommendations The Committee is asked to: consider and agree the consultation response as attached to Appendix 1 and recommend option 3 as the preferred option as stated in paragraph 3, point 3.4. note the request that if option 3 is considered to be the appropriate regional option, that the Food Standards Agency is asked to consider identifying additional resources to support business to implement this and in particular for those that are 	

3.0	Main report
	Key Issues
3.1	The Food Standards Agency is consulting on amending allergen information provisions contained within domestic food information legislation for food prepacked for direct sale. The full consultation package is available at:
	https://consult.defra.gov.uk/agri-food-chain-directorate/consultation-on-amending-allergen- information/
3.2	The Food Information Regulations (NI) 2014 introduced legal requirements to ensure consumers are made aware of the 14 most significant allergenic ingredients in foods. The council is the enforcement authority for these regulations and the Food Safety Unit provides guidance and support to all our local food businesses, including those within Council amenities, to build compliance with these requirements. To date that support has included:
	• Providing businesses with an Allergen Compliance Toolkit including guidance materials on how to manage allergens as well as information on what to do in the event of a consumer having an allergic reaction. An allergen checklist and ingredient matrix have been provided to help businesses keep track of potential food allergen risks;
	 The delivery of training and seminars for businesses on allergen management and the provision of allergen information to consumers; Since the introduction of our Allergen Strategy in June 2017, we have monitored compliance with the allergen requirements during 1283 routine inspections and 1027 targeted interventions. We also investigate consumer complaints relating to allergic reactions to food and routinely sample and analyse foods to detect undeclared allergens. Where necessary officers may use enforcement powers to secure compliance. To date one food business has accepted a formal caution after a customer suffered an allergic reaction whilst eating a meal and we have one pending prosecution for a business that supplied multiple products with incorrect allergen labelling. Where Food Safety officers detect food that is unsafe, including food labelled with
	incorrect allergen information, they work collaboratively with the business and the Food Standards Agency to ensure the food is removed from the supply chain and to alert consumers.

icy options contained each. PROS t to business lemented in shortest ximum flexibility for n making allergen vailable iislabelling t to business stomers to ask	CONS - Requires consumers to ask allergen information - No increased protection for consumers - Label does not provide prod specific information - Risk if food is purchased for
t to business lemented in shortest ximum flexibility for n making allergen vailable islabelling t to business	 Requires consumers to ask allergen information No increased protection for consumers Label does not provide prod specific information Risk if food is purchased for
t to business lemented in shortest ximum flexibility for n making allergen vailable islabelling t to business	 Requires consumers to ask allergen information No increased protection for consumers Label does not provide prod specific information Risk if food is purchased for
t to business	specific information - Risk if food is purchased for
	someone else - Consumer unlikely to ask ev time they purchase the same (could miss ingredient change
ct specific at point of sale and mislabelling than sk of cross n than option 4 igredient substitution involve allergens	 Only provides information or 14 allergens identified in the legislation Risk of mislabelling More costly than options 1 8
tion for consumers 4 allergens identified ion ly on staff knowing	 Most costly and time consures especially for small businesses Risk of mislabelling Business may decide not to PPDS so less choice for the consumer Costs could stifle innovation
•	n that both Options 2 and 3 woul ness. However, taking everythir
	mislabelling than sk of cross than option 4 gredient substitution involve allergens tion for consumers 4 allergens identified on y on staff knowing

The Food Safety section of Council's website provides individuals and businesses

with information on food labelling. It includes links to the FSA's website where individuals can register to receive allergen alerts and obtain information on current

•

3.5	The committee is also asked to note the request that if option 3 is considered to be the
	appropriate regional option to afford the best level of protection to customers, that the Food
	Standards Agency is asked to consider identifying additional resources to support business
	to implement this option and in particular for those that are small, medium enterprises.
3.6	This may also have an impact on the resources needed within BCC to support business and
	therefore Council ask that the FSA also consider how they will support Councils to achieve
	this.
3.7	Appendix 1 contains our full response to this consultation.
	Financial & Resource Implications
3.8	Any additional legal requirements would require officer time to support, monitor and ensure
0.0	compliance. It is anticipated that these additional demands could be delivered within our
	existing budget but this would require re-prioritisation.
	Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment
3.9	There are no equality or good relations implications. There is no requirement for a rural
0.0	needs assessment.
4.0	Appendices – Documents Attached
	Appendix 1 – Draft response. Closing date 29 th March 2019.